DOI: 10.52054/FVVO.2025.99 Facts Views Vis Obgyn

# 10-step approach for laparoscopic pectopexy combined with supracervical hysterectomy

Angelos Daniilidis<sup>1</sup>, Anna Pitsillidi<sup>2</sup>, Georgios Grigoriadis<sup>1</sup>

# **ABSTRACT**

Background: Apical prolapse, characterised by the descent of the vaginal apex, uterus, or cervix, is commonly treated by laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy, the current gold standard. Laparoscopic pectopexy (LP) has emerged as an effective alternative, particularly advantageous for obese patients due to its technical approach.

Objectives: To demonstrate a standardised 10-step surgical technique for performing laparoscopic pectopexy combined with supracervical hysterectomy, aiming to provide a safe and reproducible method for the treatment of apical prolapse.

Participant: A 68-year-old female patient presenting with symptomatic, advanced apical pelvic organ prolapse (POP-Q stage IV) consented to the procedure.

Intervention: The patient underwent LP following a 10-step surgical protocol: (1) division of the round ligaments and dissection towards the pelvic sidewall, (2) identification of the iliopectineal ligament, (3) division of the uterovesical peritoneum and development of the vesicovaginal space, (4) supracervical hysterectomy, (5) opening of the rectovaginal space, (6) closure of the cervical canal, (7) mesh insertion and fixation to cervix, anterior and posterior vagina, (8) bilateral anchoring of the mesh lateral arms to the iliopectineal ligaments, (9) closure of the overlying peritoneum, and (10) morcellation of the uterine corpus. The surgery was completed with minimal blood loss and no intraoperative complications.

Conclusions: LP combined with supracervical hysterectomy is a safe, effective, and reproducible surgical option for apical prolapse repair, demonstrating favourable perioperative outcomes and early discharge.

What is New? This video-based demonstration introduces a standardised 10-step approach to LP combined with supracervical hysterectomy, facilitating adoption of this technique by surgeons with advanced minimally invasive skills, and highlighting its potential benefits, especially in obese patients.

**Keywords:** Pectopexy, pelvic organ prolapse, laparoscopy

## Introduction

Pelvic organ prolapse (POP) is defined as a protrusion or herniation of the pelvic organs through the vaginal walls and pelvic floor, a commonly-occurring condition which has a significant negative impact on women's quality of life.<sup>1,2</sup> Apical compartment prolapse is the result of the descent of the cervix or the vaginal vault after hysterectomy. The gold-standard method of surgical correction is sacrocolpopexy.<sup>3,4</sup> The laparoscopic approach is preferred to laparotomy due to its numerous benefits for the patients, such as shorter recovery time, shorter hospitalisation and less postoperative pain.<sup>5,6</sup> In 2010, a novel laparoscopic

Corresponding Author: Anna Pitsillidi, MD, 1st Department in Obstetrics and Gynecology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Medicine, Papageorgiou General Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

E-mail: anna.pitsillidi@gmail.com ORCID ID: orcid.org/0009-0003-5363-3522

Received: 16.02.2025 Accepted: 21.04.2025 Epub: 17.06.2025

Cite this article as: Daniilidis A, Pitsillidi A, Grigoriadis G. 10-step approach of laparoscopic pectopexy combined with supracervical hysterectomy. Facts Views Vis Obgyn. [Epub Ahead of Print].





<sup>11</sup>st Department in Obstetrics and Gynecology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Medicine, Papageorgiou General Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Rheinland Klinikum Dormagen, Dormagen, Germany

technique, known as laparoscopic pectopexy (LP), was introduced by Banerjee and Noé<sup>7</sup> for the treatment of apical prolapse. LP was initially developed as an alternative to laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy (LS) for obese patients, in whom access to os sacrum and longitudinal ligament may be technically challenging. The anchoring points of the mesh in LP are the iliopectineal ligaments bilaterally.<sup>7</sup> In this video article, we present a step-by-step surgical technique of LP with concomitant supracervical hysterectomy.

# Methods

A 68-year-old patient was referred to our outpatient department with a one-year history of "vaginal dragging sensation" without co-existent urinary incontinence. The patient had 2 normal deliveries, a body mass index 27 kg/m², no previous abdominal surgeries and suffered from mild hypertension. Our clinical examination revealed the presence of a POP-quantification system (Q) IV prolapse. The patient was thoroughly counselled about management options of her condition and opted to undergo LP combined with supracervical hysterectomy. Informed written consent was obtained.

Under general anaesthesia, the patient was placed in lithotomy position and a vaginal examination was performed, confirming the presence of POP-Q IV prolapse. A urinary bladder catheter was inserted and the uterus instrumented. Pneumoperitoneum was established using the Veress needle in the base of the umbilicus. A 0-degree laparoscope was then, introduced through a 10-millimetre trocar in the base of the umbilicus and three further ports were placed under direct vision, two 5 mm lateral and one suprapubic 10 mm, under vision. The procedure was completed by performing the following 10 consecutive steps, using 1 Metzenbaum scissor, a universal grasping forceps, 1 bipolar forceps and 1 needle holder.

#### Results

Step 1: Division of the round ligaments and extension of dissection towards the pelvic sidewall bilaterally. Step 2: Identification of the iliopectineal ligament as the anchoring point of the mesh. Step 3: Division of the uterovesical peritoneum and development of the vesicovaginal space. Step 4: Routine subtotal hysterectomy. Step 5: Opening of the rectovaginal space. Step 6: Closure of the cervical canal. Step 7: Insert the mesh (polypropylene) and fix it with sutures on the

cervix, anterior and posterior vagina. Step 8: Anchor the lateral arms of the mesh on the iliopectineal ligaments bilaterally. A non-absorbable suture is placed through the iliopectineal ligament while pushing carefully the external iliac vein laterally. The same suture is then passed through the lateral arm of the mesh, and the knot is tied. It is important to ensure that excessive tension is avoided. Step 9: Closure of the overlying peritoneum with a continuous absorbable suture. Step 10: In-bag Morcellation of the uterine corpus according to the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence and American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists guidelines.<sup>8,9</sup>

The operation was performed with minimal blood loss and no intra- or post-operative complications. The patient was discharged on the first postoperative day, after fully voiding her bladder and made an uneventful recovery. The patient was examined in our outpatient department 6 weeks following the procedure by the operating surgeon, confirming excellent anatomic reconstruction (POP-Q 0) and symptom resolution.

#### Discussion

There are many different surgical procedures for the treatment of apical prolapse, which can be divided into obliterative ones (such as Colpocleisis, 10,11 for non-sexually active patients) and restorative ones. The gold standard method for apical suspension is LS.4,12-14 LP is an alternative method for the reconstruction of apical defects.

There have been many studies and trials which have investigated and compared the complications and outcomes of LP and LS. In LS the mesh is placed between the cervix/vagina and the sacrum, restricting the pelvis and, possibly, leading to defecation problems and postinflammatory changes of the sigmoid. 12,13,15-18 Moreover, there is a risk of injuring the hypogastric nerves because of the preparation of the anterior sacral bone, which is needed during LS.<sup>19</sup> On the other hand, in LP the mesh follows the natural structures in an organ free area, minimising the risk of such complications and preserving a natural vaginal axis.<sup>7,20</sup> Pectineal ligament has been also proved to be statistically significantly stronger than the sacrospinous ligament and the arcus tendinous of the pelvic fascia.<sup>21</sup> Though the two methods do not seem to differ regarding the relapse rates of apical prolapse, de novo central- or lateral-defect and de novo rectocele as well as anatomic outcomes, intraoperative blood loss and

hospitalisation duration,<sup>20</sup> LP is associated with reduced procedural time.<sup>22</sup> Noé et al.<sup>20</sup> have shown that the two methods have similar de novo stress urinary incontinence and urgency rates postoperatively. Some studies have also demonstrated that patients undergoing LP have a greater improvement in quality of life and sexual function.<sup>23,24</sup> Furthermore, Chuang et al.<sup>23</sup> evaluated and compared the learning curve of LP with LS in a cumulative analysis and showed that LP seems to have a shorter learning curve, possibly explained by the complexity of the surgical field in LS, especially in obese patients.<sup>25,26</sup> On the other hand, LP may offer an easier approach to the surgeon, with the obturator area, corona mortis and external iliac vein being the most important anatomical structures that need to be preserved during preparation of iliopectineal ligament according to Pulatoğlu et al.<sup>27</sup>

In our 10-step approach of LP, we have included a concomitant supracervical hysterectomy. However, nowadays there is an increasing desire of women for uterus-preserving prolapse treatment. LP can also be used to perform a hysteropexy after an effective and appropriate counselling and selection of the patients. In this case, the round ligament should remain intact, and the preparation begins with a superficial incision of the peritoneum adjacent to the ligament and the central part of the mesh can be fixated to the anterior or the posterior part of the uterus.<sup>28</sup>

LP is a safe and effective alternative to LS in the clinical routine. However, it is important to that future multicentre, randomised trials should be conducted with an adequate sample size and follow-up to evaluate efficacy and long-term outcomes of this technique.

## Conclusion

We report a simple, effective and reproducible approach for LP, using 10 consecutive steps. The standardised procedure can be adopted and safely performed by surgeons with advanced minimal access skills and with, potentially, a shorter learning curve compared to LS.

Acknowledgments: None.

**Contributors:** Surgical and Medical Practices: A.D., A.P., G.G., Concept: A.D., A.P., G.G., Design: A.D., A.P., G.G., Data Collection or Processing: A.P., G.G., Analysis or Interpretation: A.D., G.G., Literature Search: A.P., G.G., Writing: A.D., A.P., G.G.

**Funding:** The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} \textbf{Competing interests:} & No & conflict of interest was declared by the authors. \end{tabular}$ 

Ethical approval: An informed, written consent was obtained.

**Data sharing:** The data generated and analysed during this study are available at https://youtu.be/0s3kjxKyRow.

**Transparency:** The authors affirm that this article is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported and that no important aspects have been omitted.

## References

- Haylen BT, Maher CF, Barber MD, Camargo S, Dandolu V, Digesu A, et al. An International Urogynecological Association (IUGA) / International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the terminology for female pelvic organ prolapse (POP). Int Urogynecol J. 2016;27:165-94.
- 2. Weintraub AY, Glinter H, Marcus-Braun N. Narrative review of the epidemiology, diagnosis and pathophysiology of pelvic organ prolapse. Int Braz J Urol. 2020;46:5-14.
- 3. Lane FE. Repair of posthysterectomy vaginal-vault prolapse. Obstet Gynecol. 1962;20:72-7.
- Maher C, Feiner B, Baessler K, Schmid C. Surgical management of pelvic organ prolapse in women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013:CD004014. Update in: Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;11:CD004014.
- Gadonneix P, Ercoli A, Salet-Lizée D, Cotelle O, Bolner B, Van Den Akker M, et al. Laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy with two separate meshes along the anterior and posterior vaginal walls for multicompartment pelvic organ prolapse. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc. 2004;11:29-35.
- Banerjee C, Sachse K, Hellmich M, Fanselau C, Banerjee M, Noé GK. Sexual impairment in women undergoing laparoscopic surgery for genital prolapse: a hospital-based cohort study. Urol Int. 2010;85:447-54.
- 7. Banerjee C, Noé KG. Laparoscopic pectopexy: a new technique of prolapse surgery for obese patients. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2011;284:631-5.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Hysteroscopic removal of retained products of conception. London: NICE; 2021. Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipa703
- 9. Uterine Morcellation for Presumed Leiomyomas: ACOG Committee Opinion, Number 822. Obstet Gynecol. 2021;137:e63-e74. Erratum in: Obstet Gynecol. 2021;138:313.
- 10. Abbasy S, Kenton K. Obliterative procedures for pelvic organ prolapse. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2010;53:86-98.
- Buchsbaum GM, Lee TG. Vaginal obliterative procedures for pelvic organ prolapse: a systematic review. Obstet Gynecol Surv. 2017;72:175-83.
- Nieminen K, Heinonen P. Long-term outcome of abdominal sacral colpopexy or vaginal sacrospinous ligament fixation for posthysterectomy vaginal vault prolapse. J Pelvic Surg. 2000;6:254-260.
- Culligan PJ, Murphy M, Blackwell L, Hammons G, Graham C, Heit MH. Long-term success of abdominal sacral colpopexy using synthetic mesh. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2002;187:1473-80; discussion 1481-2.
- 14. Nygaard IE, McCreery R, Brubaker L, Connolly A, Cundiff G, Weber AM, et al. Abdominal sacrocolpopexy: a comprehensive review. Obstet Gynecol. 2004;104:805-23.

- Snyder TE, Krantz KE. Abdominal-retroperitoneal sacral colpopexy for the correction of vaginal prolapse. Obstet Gynecol. 1991;77:944-9.
- Pilsgaard K, Mouritsen L. Follow-up after repair of vaginal vault prolapse with abdominal colposacropexy. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 1999;78:66-70.
- Baessler K, Schuessler B. Abdominal sacrocolpopexy and anatomy and function of the posterior compartment. Obstet Gynecol. 2001;97:678-84.
- 18. Sullivan ES, Longaker CJ, Lee PY. Total pelvic mesh repair: a tenyear experience. Dis Colon Rectum. 2001;44:857-63.
- Shiozawa T, Huebner M, Hirt B, Wallwiener D, Reisenauer C. Nerve-preserving sacrocolpopexy: anatomical study and surgical approach. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2010;152:103-7.
- Noé KG, Schiermeier S, Alkatout I, Anapolski M. Laparoscopic pectopexy: a prospective, randomized, comparative clinical trial of standard laparoscopic sacral colpocervicopexy with the new laparoscopic pectopexy-postoperative results and intermediateterm follow-up in a pilot study. J Endourol. 2015;29:210-5.
- Cosson M, Boukerrou M, Lacaze S, Lambaudie E, Fasel J, Mesdagh H, et al. A study of pelvic ligament strength. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2003;109:80-7.
- 22. North CE, Ali-Ross NS, Smith AR, Reid FM. A prospective study of laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy for the management of pelvic organ prolapse. BJOG. 2009;116:1251-7.

- 23. Chuang FC, Chou YM, Wu LY, Yang TH, Chen WH, Huang KH. Laparoscopic pectopexy: the learning curve and comparison with laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy. Int Urogynecol J. 2022;33:1949-56.
- 24. Erdem S. Intermediate-term follow-up of laparoscopic pectopexy cases and their effects on sexual function and quality of life: a cross-sectional study. Sao Paulo Med J. 2022;140:583-7.
- 25. Giraudet G, Protat A, Cosson M. The anatomy of the sacral promontory: how to avoid complications of the sacrocolpopexy procedure. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2018;218:457.e1-457.e3.
- 26. Mahoney C, Scott G, Dwyer L, Reid F, Ward K, Smith A, et al. Laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy posthysterectomy: intraoperative feasibility and safety in obese women compared with women of normal weight. Int Urogynecol J. 2019;30:2041-8.
- 27. Pulatoğlu Ç, Doğan O, Medisoğlu MS, Yassa M, Ellibeş Kaya A, Selçuk İ, et al. Surgical anatomy of the pectineal ligament during pectopexy surgery: the relevance to the major vascular structures. Turk J Obstet Gynecol. 2020;17:21-7.
- Noé GK, Barnard A, Schiermeier S, Anapolski M. Current role of hysterectomy in pelvic floor surgery: time for reappraisal? A review of current literature and expert discussion. Biomed Res Int. 2021;2021:9934486.



Video 1. https://youtu.be/0s3kjxKyRow