

Obstetric outcomes and congenital abnormalities in infants conceived with oocytes matured in vitro

R-C. CHIAN^{1,2}, C-L. XU¹, J.Y.J. HUANG¹, B. ATA¹

¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, McGill University, Montreal, Canada, H3A 1A1

² State Key Laboratory of Reproductive Medicine, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, 210029

Correspondence at: Dr. Ri-Cheng Chian, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. Email: ri-cheng.chian@mcgill.ca

Key words: Congenital, IVF, IVM, obstetric outcome, malformations.

Today a key component to infertility treatment with in vitro fertilization (IVF) is controlled ovarian hyper-stimulation (COH), a process whereby supra-physiological amounts of exogenous gonadotropins are administered for the purpose of inducing multi-follicular growth. It is generally accepted that the number of mature oocytes retrieved relates to the number of embryos available for transfer which in turn correlates with the likelihood of treatment success. However, side effects of COH (short and possibly long-term) continue to raise concerns (van Leeuwen et al., 2011). Robert Edwards, the pioneer of IVF believed that recovery of immature oocytes followed by in vitro maturation (IVM) would be one of the potentially useful treatments for women with infertility (Edwards, 2007a,b). IVM of immature

eggs has emerged as a gonadotropin-independent treatment alternative to conventional IVF (Chian et al., 2004; 2013). IVM differs from conventional IVF treatment in two major ways. First is the absence of COH and second is the collection of immature oocytes that are cultured in vitro until they reach the metaphase II (mature) stage before IVF is performed.

Since the introduction of IVF and other assisted reproductive technologies (ARTs) for infertility treatment, the health of infants born from these techniques has been a major concern. Individual studies have examined the birth weight and major defects in infants born from IVF or other ART procedures, but with conflicting results (Hansen, 2002; Schieve LA et al., 2002; Rimm et al., 2004; Davies et al., 2012).

Table I. — List of centers contributing to present data and numbers of IVM infants provided by the end of 2010 from each clinic (center).

| Country and clinics (centers) | Number of IVM babies reported |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Australia <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fertility Specialists WA, Bethesda Hospital, 25 Queenslea Drive, Claremont WA 6010, Australia | 19 |
| Brazil <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nilo Frantz Human Reproduction and Research Center, Nilo Pecanha Avenue 1221, 10th Floor, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil | 15 |
| Canada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> McGill Reproductive Center, McGill University Health Center (MUHC), 687 Pine Avenue W, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3A 1A1 | 132 |
| China <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Medical Center for Human Reproduction, Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing, China. 100191 Center for Reproductive Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital with Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, 210029 | 292 |

Table I. — Continuation.

| Country and clinics (centers) | Number of IVM babies reported |
|--|-------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Center for Reproductive Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, 230022 Reproductive Medicine Center, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical College, Wenzhou, 325000 Reproductive Medical Center, The First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510700 The Women's Clinic and IVF Center, The Hong Kong Sanatorium and Hospital | |
| Columbia <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CECOLFES, Bogota | 7 |
| Denmark <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Fertility Clinics, Herlev University Hospital, DK-2730, Herlev | 34 |
| Finland <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Infertility Clinic, The Family Federation of Finland, Helsinki | 52 |
| France <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Service de Gynecologie-Obstetrique et Medicine de la Reproduction, Hospital Antoine Beclere, Clamart, France; Laboratoire de Biologie de la Reproduction-IFREARES, 20 Route de Revel, 31400-Toulouse | 50 |
| Greece <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IAKENTRO, 4 Ag, Vasiliou St, Thessaloniki | 1 |
| Israel <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IVF Unit, Assaf Harofeh Medical Center, Tel-Aviv University, Zerifin | 3 |
| Italy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biogenesi Reproductive Medicine Centre, Istituti Clinici Zucchi, V Zucchi, 24-Monza | 157 |
| Japan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IVF Namba Clinic and IVF Osaka Clinic, Osaka Yoshida Ladies' Clinic, Sendai Kyono ART Clinic, Sendai | 69 |
| Jordan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ART and Genetic Department, AL-Khalidi Medical Center | 1 |
| Norway <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bioingeniør, Fertilitetscenteret ved Aleris Sykehus, Fredrik Stangs gt. 11-13, O264 Oslo | 4 |
| South Korea <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maria Fertility Hospital, Seoul | 455 |
| Slovenia <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Department of Reproductive Medicine and Gynecological Endocrinology, University Clinical Centre Maribor | 7 |
| Sweden <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fertility Unit, Karolinska Institutet, Department of Clinical Science, Technology and Intervention, Karolinska University Hospital, Novum, SE 14186 Stockholm | 22 |
| Taiwan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IVF Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital, Taipei | 20 |
| Turkey <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IVF Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dokuz Eylul University, Izmir Gurgan Clinic Women Health, Infertility and IVF Center, Cankaya Caddesi, No.20/3, Ankara | 8 |
| United Kingdom <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oxford Fertility Unit, Level 4, Womens Centre, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK OX3 9DU | 8 |
| United States of America <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delaware valley Institute of Fertility and Genetics, Marlton, NJ08053 | 6 |
| Vietnam <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HCM Society for Reproductive Medicine (HOSREM), 84T/8 Tran Dinh Xu Street, District 1, Ho Chi Minh City | 59 |
| Total | 1,421 |

Table II. — Obstetric outcomes and congenital abnormalities in 1,421 IVM babies born from 1,187 pregnancies.

| Characteristics from 1,187 pregnancies | Singleton pregnancies (n = 960) | Twin gestation pregnancies (n = 221) | Triplet gestation pregnancies (n = 5) | Quadruplet gestation pregnancies (n = 1) |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Mean gestational age at delivery (weeks + days) | 37 + 4 | 36 + 5 | 35 + 2 | 29 + 0 |
| No. of deliveries at > 37 weeks (%) | 855 (89) | 60 (27) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| No. of deliveries at 34-37 weeks (%) | 82 (9) | 132 (60) | 5 (100) | 0 (0) |
| No. of deliveries at < 34 weeks (%) | 23 (2) | 29 (13) | 0 (0) | 1 (100) |
| Total of 1,421 newborns | Singleton newborns (n = 960) | Twin newborns (n = 442) | Triplet newborns (n = 15) | Quadruplet newborns (n = 4) |
| Birth weight (mean ± SD) (g) | 2,965 ± 532 | 2,434 ± 365 | 1,968 ± 472 | 1,330 ± 84 |
| No. of LBW (%) | 35 (4) | 59 (13) | 12 (80) | 0 (0) |
| No. of VLBW (%) | 5 (1) | 12 (3) | 2 (13) | 4 (100) |
| Median Apgar score at 1 min (inter-quartile range) | 9 (7-9) | 8 (7-9) | 8 (8-9) | – |
| No. of Apgar score at 1 min less than 7 (%) | 133 (14) | 31 (14) | 0 (0) | – |
| Median Apgar score at 5 min (inter-quartile range) | 10 (9-10) | 10 (9-10) | 8 (8-9) | – |
| No. of Apgar score at 5 min less than 7 (%) | 25 (3) | 5 (2) | 0 (0) | – |
| Incidence of congenital anomalies (%) | 15 (2) | 3 (1) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| LBW: Low birth weight, 1,500-2,500 g; VLBW: Very low birth weight, < 1,500 g; SD: Standard deviation. | | | | |

the infants born after IVM (Söderström-Anttila et al., 2006; Buckett et al., 2007; Fadini et al., 2012). In this paper we describe 1,421 IVM babies born from 1,187 pregnancies from 31 IVF clinics located in 22 countries (Table I). Data was collected at the time of birth and includes stillbirths but not pregnancy terminations. Information on maternal age, mode of delivery, multiple pregnancy, gestational age at delivery, birth weight, Apgar scores, and congenital abnormality are presented. Congenital malformations were recorded based on the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision (WHO, 2007).

The mean maternal age was 34 years. Forty percent (n = 476) infants were delivered vaginally and 60% (n = 711) were delivered by caesarean section. Mean gestational age at delivery and mean birth weight of all infants in singleton, twin, triplet and quadruplet are shown in Table II. Of the 1,421 IVM infants born, there were 18 major congenital abnormalities. It is comparable with the prevalence of major birth defects (MBD) with the spontaneous conception per birth ranged to International Clearinghouse for Birth Defects Surveillance and Research (ICBDSR, 2011).

This is the largest report of infants born from IVM to date. A study is planned to analyse the prevalence of major birth defects in infants conceived following IVM treatment compared to outcomes of this IVM cohort with similar populations of infants conceived by conventional IVF. Based on our preliminary data, IVM does not appear to pose any significantly increased risk of poor obstetric outcomes or congenital abnormalities over those already accepted with IVF or other ARTs.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to all IVF clinics (centers) who provided their information but cannot be listed as authors. This work was partially funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Program in Oocyte Health – CIHR – FA5935).

References

Buckett WM, Chian RC, Holzer H et al. Obstetric outcomes and congenital abnormalities after in vitro maturation, in vitro fertilization, and intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;110:885-91.

- Chian RC, Lim JH, Tan SL. State of the art in in-vitro oocyte maturation. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.* 2004;16:211-9.
- Chian RC, Uzelac PS, Nargund G. In vitro maturation of human immature oocytes for fertility preservation. *Fertil Steril.* 2013;99:1173-81.
- Davies MJ, Moore VM, Willson KJ et al. Reproductive technologies and the risk of birth defects. *N Engl J M.* 2012;366:1803-13.
- Edwards RG. Are minimal stimulation IVF and IVM set to replace routine IVF? *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2007a;14:267-70.
- Edwards RG. IVF, IVM, natural cycle IVF, minimal stimulation IVF – time for a rethink. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2007b;15:106-19.
- Fadini R, Mignini Renzini M et al. Comparison of the obstetric and perinatal outcomes of children conceived from in vitro or in vivo matured oocytes in in vitro maturation treatments with births from conventional ICSI cycles. *Hum Reprod.* 2012;27:3601-8.
- Hansen M. The risk of major birth defects after intracytoplasmic sperm injection and in vitro fertilization. *N Engl J M.* 2002; 346:725-30.
- International Clearinghouse for Birth Defects Surveillance and Research (ICBDSR). 2011; <http://www.icbdsr.org/filebank/documents/ar2005/Report2011.pdf>
- Rimm AA, Katayama AC, Diaz M et al. A meta-analysis of controlled studies comparing major malformation rates in IVF and ICSI infants with naturally conceived children. *J Assist Reprod Genet.* 2004;21:437-43.
- Schieve LA, Meikle SF, Ferre C et al. Low and very low birth weight in infants conceived with use of assisted reproductive technology. *N Engl J M.* 2002;346:731-7.
- Söderström-Anttila V, Salokorpi T, Pihlaja M et al. Obstetric and perinatal outcome and preliminary results of development of children born after in vitro maturation of oocytes. *Hum Reprod.* 2006;21:1508-13.
- van Leeuwen FE, Klip H, Mooij TM et al. Risk of borderline and invasive ovarian tumours after ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization in a large Dutch cohort. *Hum Reprod.* 2011;26:3456-65.
- World Health Organization (WHO). International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision. Version for 2007. <http://apps.who.int/classifications/apps/icd/icd10online/>.